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#### Abstract

We consider dynamic problems which describe frictional contact between a body and a foundation. The constitutive law is viscoelastic or elastic and the frictional contact is modelled by a general subdifferential condition on the velocity, including the normal damped responses. We derive weak formulations for the models and prove existence and uniqueness results. The proofs are based on the theory of second-order evolution variational inequalities. We show that the solutions of the viscoelastic problems converge to the solution of the corresponding elastic problem as the viscosity tensor tends to zero and when the frictional potential function converges to the corresponding function in the elastic problem.
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## 1. Introduction

Contact problems arise in many situations, for instance, in crack and impact mechanics, or in earthquake phenomena. Despite the importance of their practical applications and the considerable literature devoted to these topics, many problems involving contact phenomena still remain open.

A number of papers investigating quasistatic frictional contact problems with viscoelastic materials have recently been published (see, e.g., Awbi et al., 2000; Chau et al.; 2001a; 2001b; Han and Sofonea, 2000; 2001). In (Chau et al., 2001b) frictional contact was modelled by a general velocity-dependent dissipation functional, in (Chau et al., 2001a) a bilateral contact with Tresca's friction law was analysed, while in (Han and Sofonea, 2001) frictional contact with normal compliance was studied, and in (Awbi et al., 2000; Han and Sofonea, 2000) frictional contact with normal damped response was considered. Dynamic contact problems with normal compliance were considered in (Andrews et al., 1997a; 1997b; Kuttler and Shillor, 1999; Martins and Oden, 1987).

This paper constitutes a contribution to the study of second-order evolution contact problems. Our aim is to give versions of the results obtained in (Chau et al., 2001b) to a dynamic process. We investigate models for dynamic frictional contact between a body and an obstacle, in which Kelvin-Voigt viscoelastic or elastic constitutive laws are considered. The frictional contact is modelled by a general subdifferential boundary condition.

Further examples and detailed explanations concerning the boundary conditions of this form can be found in the monograph by Panagiotopoulos (1985) and more recently in (Chau et al., 2001b). Here, the study of viscoelastic or elastic materials in dynamic processes with subdifferential boundary conditions leads to a non-standard new mathematical model, implying nonlinear second-order evolution equations.

We prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to the mechanical problems. We also show the continuous dependence of these solutions on the viscosity and frictional potential function, both of which may vary because of simultaneous changes in the viscosity of the body and in the roughness of the surface.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notation and a preliminary material. In Section 3 we formulate the dynamic mechanical problems with a subdifferential frictional contact condition. Then, after specifying the assumptions on the data, we derive variational formulations for the problems, and we prove an existence and uniqueness result. The proof is based on second-order evolutionary inequalities with maximal monotone operators. In Section 4 we prove a convergence result which shows that the solutions to the viscoelastic problems converge to the solution to the elastic problem when the viscosity tends to zero and when the frictional potential function converges to the corresponding one in the elastic problem. Finally, in Section 5 we provide some examples of specific subdifferential conditions to which our results apply.

## 2. Notation and Preliminaries

Let $S_{d}$ be the space of second-order symmetric tensors on $\mathbb{R}^{d}(d=2,3)$, and denote the inner product and the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $S_{d}$ by '.' and $|\cdot|$, respectively. Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}=u_{i} v_{i}, & |\boldsymbol{v}|=(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{v})^{1 / 2}, & \forall \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}=\sigma_{i j} \tau_{i j}, & |\boldsymbol{\tau}|=(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})^{1 / 2}, & \forall \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \in S_{d}
\end{array}
$$

Here and below, the indices $i$ and $j$ run between 1 and $d$, the summation convention over repeated indices is adopted and the index that follows a comma indicates a partial derivative with respect to the corresponding component of the independent variable.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary $\Gamma$. We shall use the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & =L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}=\left\{\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{i}\right) \mid u_{i} \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\} \\
\mathcal{H} & =\left\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right) \mid \sigma_{i j}=\sigma_{j i} \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\} \\
H_{1} & =\left\{\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{i}\right) \mid u_{i} \in H^{1}(\Omega)\right\} \\
\mathcal{H}_{1} & =\left\{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathcal{H} \mid \sigma_{i j, j} \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The spaces $H, \mathcal{H}, H_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ are real Hilbert spaces endowed with the inner products given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})_{H} & =\int_{\Omega} u_{i} v_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \\
(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{\mathcal{H}} & =\int_{\Omega} \sigma_{i j} \tau_{i j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})_{H_{1}} & =(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})_{H}+(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\mathcal{H}} \\
(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{\mathcal{H}_{1}} & =(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{\mathcal{H}}+(\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\tau})_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where $\varepsilon: H_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and Div : $\mathcal{H}_{1} \rightarrow H$ are the deformation and the divergence operators, respectively, defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})=\left(\varepsilon_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})\right), \quad \varepsilon_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}\right), \\
\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{i j, j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We denote the norms on the spaces $H, \mathcal{H}, H_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{H},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}},\|\cdot\|_{H_{1}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}$, respectively.

Let $H_{\Gamma}=H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)^{d}, \gamma: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{\Gamma}$ be the trace map and $\nu$ be the outer unit normal on $\Gamma$. For every element $\boldsymbol{v} \in H_{1}$ we still write $\boldsymbol{v}$ for the trace $\gamma \boldsymbol{v}$ of $\boldsymbol{v}$ on $\Gamma$, and we denote by $v_{\nu}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\tau}$ the normal and tangential components of $\boldsymbol{v}$ on the boundary $\Gamma$ given by

$$
v_{\nu}=\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{\tau}=\boldsymbol{v}-v_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}
$$

Let $H_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ be the dual of $H_{\Gamma}$ and let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ stand for the pairing between $H_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ and $H_{\Gamma}$. For every $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$, there exists an element, denoted by $\sigma \nu \in H_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}, \gamma \boldsymbol{v}\rangle=(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\mathcal{H}}+(\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{v})_{H}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in H_{1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, if $\sigma$ is regular enough (e.g. of class $C^{1}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}, \gamma \boldsymbol{v}\rangle=\int_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} a, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in H_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (1) and (2) imply the following Green formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\mathcal{H}}+(\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{v})_{H}=\int_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} a, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in H_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar manner, the normal and tangential components of $\sigma$ are defined by

$$
\sigma_{\nu}=(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}=\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}-\sigma_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}
$$

Finally, for every real Hilbert space $X$ we use the classical notation for the spaces $L^{p}(0, T ; X)$ and $W^{k, p}(0, T ; X), 1 \leq p \leq+\infty, k=1,2, \ldots$, and we denote by $C([0, T] ; X)$ and $C^{1}([0, T] ; X)$ the spaces of continuous and continuously differentiable functions from $[0, T]$ to $X$, respectively. We recall now an existence and uniqueness result concerning evolution problems, taken from (Barbu, 1976, p. 268).

Theorem 1. Let $V$ and $H$ be two real Hilbert spaces such that $V \subset H$ and the inclusion mapping of $V$ into $H$ is continuous and densely defined. We suppose that $V$ is endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ induced by the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $H$ is endowed with the norm $|\cdot|$. We denote by $V^{\prime}$ the dual space of $V$, by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}$ the duality pairing between an element of $V$ and an element of $V^{\prime}$, and $H$ is identified with its own dual $H^{\prime}$. We assume that $M$ is a maximal monotone set in $V \times V^{\prime}$ and $A$ is a linear, continuous and symmetric operator from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$ satisfying the following coerciveness condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}+\alpha|\boldsymbol{u}|^{2} \geq \omega\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^{2}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u} \in V \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega>0$. Let $\boldsymbol{g}$ be given in $W^{1,1}(0, T ; H)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \boldsymbol{v}_{0}$ be given with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in V, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{0} \in D(M), \quad\left\{A \boldsymbol{u}_{0}+M \boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right\} \cap H \neq \emptyset \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a unique solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ to the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+A \boldsymbol{u}+M\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{u}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right) \ni \boldsymbol{g}(t) \text { a.e. on }(0, T) \\
\boldsymbol{u}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{u}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(0)=\boldsymbol{v}_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## which satisfies

$$
\boldsymbol{u} \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{2, \infty}(0, T ; H)
$$

We use Theorem 1 in Section 3 to prove the existence and the uniqueness of the solution to the variational problem associated with our mechanical model.

## 3. Problem Statement. Existence and Uniqueness Result

In this section we describe the mechanical contact problem, derive its variational formulation and prove an existence and uniqueness result.

The physical setting is the following: We consider a body that occupies a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with a Lipschitz continuous boundary divided into three disjoint measurable parts $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$ such that the measure of $\Gamma_{1}$, denoted by $\left|\Gamma_{1}\right|$, is positive. Let $T>0$ and $[0, T]$ be the time interval of interest. Let $\rho: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be the mass density of the body and $\boldsymbol{f}_{0}: \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ the volume force density acting in $\Omega \times(0, T)$. The body is clamped on $\Gamma_{1} \times(0, T)$ and therefore the displacement field vanishes there. A surface traction of density $f_{2}$ : $\Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ assumed to be time-independent acts on $\Gamma_{2}$. On $\Gamma_{3} \times(0, T)$ the body may come in contact with an obstacle, the so-called foundation, and we suppose that the contact condition may be described by a subdifferentialtype inequality.

We denote by $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{i}\right): \Omega \times[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ the displacement field, by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right): \Omega \times[0, T] \rightarrow S_{d}$ the stress field, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})=\left(\varepsilon_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})\right)$ represents the linearized strain tensor. Moreover, dots above a function will represent the derivative with respect to the time variable, i.e. $\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}=\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{u} / \mathrm{d} t$ or $\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}=\mathrm{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{u} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}$.

We now describe the mechanical model for the process of frictional contact between the body and the obstacle. We use a Kelvin-Voigt constitutive law of the form

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=c \mathcal{A} \varepsilon(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}})+\mathcal{G} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u})
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the viscosity operator, $\mathcal{G} \equiv\left(g_{i j k h}\right)$, the elasticity tensor, $c \geq 0$ is the viscosity coefficient. When $c$ is positive, the body exhibits a viscoelastic behavior, while for $c=0$ the body is elastic. We model the frictional contact with a subdifferential boundary condition on $\Gamma_{3}$ of the form

$$
\boldsymbol{u} \in U, \quad \varphi(\boldsymbol{v})-\varphi(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}) \geq-\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot(\boldsymbol{v}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in U
$$

where $U \subset H_{1}$ represents the set of contact admissible test functions, $\sigma \boldsymbol{\nu}$ denotes the Cauchy stress vector on the contact boundary and $\varphi: \Gamma_{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given
function. The initial displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}_{0}$ and the initial velocity field $\boldsymbol{v}_{0}$ are given.

To summarize, the frictional mechanical problem can be formulated as follows.

Problem $\boldsymbol{P}^{\boldsymbol{c}}:$ Find a displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}: \Omega \times[0, T] \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a stress field $\sigma: \Omega \times[0, T] \rightarrow S_{d}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho \ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}=\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}+\boldsymbol{f}_{0} \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{6}\\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=c \mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}})+\mathcal{G} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{7}\\
\boldsymbol{u}=\mathbf{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T),  \tag{8}\\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}=\boldsymbol{f}_{2} \text { on } \Gamma_{2} \times(0, T),  \tag{9}\\
\boldsymbol{u} \in U, \quad \varphi(\boldsymbol{v})-\varphi(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}) \geq-\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot(\boldsymbol{v}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}), \\
\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in U \text { on } \Gamma_{3} \times(0, T),  \tag{10}\\
\boldsymbol{u}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(0)=\boldsymbol{v}_{0} \text { in } \Omega . \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

To obtain the variational formulation of Problem $P^{c}$, we consider the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in H_{1} \mid \boldsymbol{v}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1}\right\} \cap U . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define the functional $j: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ by

$$
j(\boldsymbol{v})= \begin{cases}\int_{\Gamma_{3}} \varphi(\boldsymbol{v}) \mathrm{d} a & \text { if } \quad \varphi(\boldsymbol{v}) \in L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)  \tag{13}\\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In the sequel, we suppose that:
$V$ is a closed linear subspace in $H_{1}$
$\quad$ is dense in $H$ and contains $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{d}$
$j$ is a proper, convex and lower semicontinuous functional on $V$.

Since $\left|\Gamma_{1}\right|>0$, Korn's inequality implies that there exists a constant $C_{K}>0$, depending only on $\Omega$ and $\Gamma_{1}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq C_{K}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{H_{1}}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A proof of Korn's inequality can be found in (Nečas and Hlavaček, 1981, p. 79). We consider the inner product on $V$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})_{V}=(\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\|\cdot\|_{V}$ be the norm associated with the inner product (17), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{V}=\|\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16) it follows that $\|\cdot\|_{H_{1}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{V}$ are equivalent norms on $V$. Therefore, by (14), $\left(V,\|\cdot\|_{V}\right)$ is a real Hilbert space. Moreover, by combining Sobolev's trace theorem and (16), there exists a constant $C_{0}$, depending only on $\Omega, \Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{d}} \leq C_{0}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{V}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose that the viscosity operator $\mathcal{A}: \Omega \times S_{d} \rightarrow$ $S_{d}$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(i_{1}\right) \mathcal{A}(x, \cdot)$ is monotone on $S_{d}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\mathcal{A}\left(x, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right)-\mathcal{A}\left(x, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\right) \geq 0 \\
\forall \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \in S_{d}, \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega
\end{array}
$$

$\left(i_{2}\right)$ there exist $r \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $s \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|\mathcal{A}(x, \boldsymbol{\tau})| \leq r(x)|\boldsymbol{\tau}|+s(x) \\
\forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in S_{d}, \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega
\end{array}
$$

$\left(i_{3}\right) \mathcal{A}(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $S_{d}$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$;
$\left(i_{4}\right) \mathcal{A}(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ is Lebesgue measurable on $\Omega$ for all $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in S_{d}$.
The elasticity tensor $\mathcal{G}: \Omega \times S_{d} \rightarrow S_{d}$ is assumed to satisfy the usual properties of ellipticity and symmetry, i.e.
$\left(j_{1}\right)$ there exists a constant $m_{\mathcal{G}}>0$ such that

$$
\mathcal{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \geq m_{\mathcal{G}}|\boldsymbol{\tau}|^{2}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in S_{d}, \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega
$$

$\left(j_{2}\right) \mathcal{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathcal{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\sigma}), \forall \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in S_{d}$, a.e. $x \in \Omega ;$ $\left(j_{3}\right) g_{i j k l} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ for all $i, j, k, l$.
We suppose that the mass density satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { and there exists } \rho^{*}>0 \\
& \quad \text { such that } \rho(x) \geq \rho^{*} \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

In the sequel, we define a new inner product on $H$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
((\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}))_{H}=(\rho \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})_{H}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in H \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{H}$ be the associated norm, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{v}\| \|_{H}=(\rho \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v})_{H}^{1 / 2}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in H \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using assumption (20), from (22) it follows that $|||\cdot|||_{H}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H}$ are equivalent norms on $H$. Moreover, by (14), the inclusion mapping of $\left(V,\|\cdot\|_{V}\right)$ into $\left(H,\| \| \cdot\| \|_{H}\right)$ is continuous and dense. We denote by $V^{\prime}$ the dual space of $V$. Identifying $H$ with its own dual, we can write $V \subset$ $H \subset V^{\prime}$. We use the notation $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}$ to represent the duality pairing between $V^{\prime}$ and $V$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}=((\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}))_{H}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u} \in H, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the volume forces and tractions satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{f}_{0} \in W^{1,1}(0, T ; H) \text { and } \boldsymbol{f}_{2} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)^{d} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define the functional $J: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\boldsymbol{v})=j(\boldsymbol{v})-\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \boldsymbol{f}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{~d} a, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that by (24) the integral in (25) is well defined.
We suppose that the initial data of Problem $P^{c}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in V, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{0} \in D(\partial J) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial J$ denotes the subdifferential of $J$ and $D(\partial J)$ represents its domain.

We also assume that there exists $\boldsymbol{h} \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right)+c \mathcal{A} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+J(\boldsymbol{v}) \\
-J\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right) \geq\left(\left(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{H}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

For instance, in the case when we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0}^{c}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+J(\boldsymbol{v})-J\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right) \\
& \quad \geq\left(\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(0), \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{H}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0}^{c}:=\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right)+c \mathcal{A} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)$, the condition (27) is satisfied.

We turn now to derive a variational formulation for the mechanical problem $P^{c}$. To this end, let us fix $c \geq 0$. We suppose in the following that $\{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\}$ are regular functions satisfying (6)-(11) and such that $\varphi(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}) \in L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$. Let $\boldsymbol{w} \in V$ with $\varphi(\boldsymbol{w}) \in L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ and $t \in[0, T]$. Applying (3) to $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ for $\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)$ and using (6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\rho \ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)-\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{H}+(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w}) \\
& \quad-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}}=\int_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot(\boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)) \mathrm{d} a
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (8), (9), (21) and (23), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&\langle\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}+(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&=\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{H}+\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)^{d}} \\
&+\int_{\Gamma_{3}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot(\boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)) \mathrm{d} a \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (28), (10) and (13), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\langle\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}+(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&+j(\boldsymbol{w})-j(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)) \\
& \geq\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{H}+\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)^{d}} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account (13), we observe that (29) remains true for all $\boldsymbol{w} \in V$. Consequently, combining (29) and (25), we deduce that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}+(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}} \\
+J(\boldsymbol{w})-J(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)) \geq\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{H}, \\
\forall \boldsymbol{w} \in V, \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, keeping in mind (7) and (11), we are led to the following variational formulation of the mechanical problem $P^{c}$, for each $c \geq 0$ :

Problem $\boldsymbol{P}_{V}^{c}:$ Find a displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}:[0, T] \rightarrow V$ and a stress field $\sigma:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)=c \mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))+\mathcal{G} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}(t)) \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T),  \tag{30}\\
\langle\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}+(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}} \\
+J(\boldsymbol{w})-J(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)) \geq\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{H}, \\
\forall \boldsymbol{w} \in V, \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T)  \tag{31}\\
\boldsymbol{u}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(0)=\boldsymbol{v}_{0} \text { in } \Omega \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

We state now our existence and uniqueness result.
Theorem 2. Assume that (14), (15), $\left(i_{1}\right)-\left(i_{4}\right),\left(j_{1}\right)-\left(j_{3}\right)$, (20), (24), (26) and (27) hold. Then for each $c \geq 0$ there exists a unique solution $\{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\}$ to Problem $P_{V}^{c}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\boldsymbol{u} \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{2, \infty}(0, T ; H), \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H}), \quad \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; H) . \tag{34}
\end{array}
$$

We conclude that, under the assumptions of Theorem 2, Problem $P^{c}$ has a unique weak solution $\{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\}$ having the regularity (33), (34).

Proof. Let us fix $c \geq 0$. We consider the Hilbert spaces $H=L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ and $V$ given by (12). We introduce the operator $A: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}=(\mathcal{G} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (18), $\left(j_{2}\right)$ and $\left(j_{3}\right)$, we see that $A \in L\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left(j_{1}\right)$ implies that $A$ satisfies the condition (4) with $\alpha=0$ and $\omega=m_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Define now the set-valued operator $M_{c}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{c}=B_{c}+\partial J \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{c}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle B_{c} \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\right\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}=c(\mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (37) and $\left(i_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle B_{c} \boldsymbol{u}-B_{c} \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}\right\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V} \\
& \quad=c(\mathcal{A} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u})-\mathcal{A} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in V,
\end{aligned}
$$

so the operator $B_{c}$ is monotone. Using (37) and (18), we have

$$
\left\|B_{c} \boldsymbol{u}-B_{c} \boldsymbol{v}\right\|_{V^{\prime}} \leq c\|\mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})-\mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in V
$$

and, keeping in mind $\left(i_{2}\right),\left(i_{3}\right),\left(i_{4}\right)$ and Krasnoselski's theorem (see Kavian, 1993, p. 60), we find that $B_{c}: V \rightarrow$ $V^{\prime}$ is a continuous operator. Using again (37) and $\left(i_{2}\right)$, we find that $B_{c}$ is bounded.

From (15) and (25) we deduce that $J$ is proper, convex and lower semicontinuous, which implies that $\partial J$ is maximal monotone. Consequently, since $B_{c}$ is monotone, bounded and hemicontinuous from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$, we conclude (Barbu, 1976, p. 39) that $M_{c}=B_{c}+\partial J$ is maximal monotone.

Moreover, the initial data $\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \boldsymbol{v}_{0}$ satisfy (5) due to (26) and (27). Thus, all the requirements of Theorem 1, with $A$ defined by (35), $M=M_{c}$ given in (36) and $\boldsymbol{g}=\boldsymbol{f}_{0}$, are satisfied. By defining $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ by (30), it follows that there exists a unique solution $\{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\}$ to Problem $P_{V}^{c}$ satisfying (33).

It remains to show (34) for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. From (30), $\left(i_{2}\right),\left(j_{2}\right)$, ( $j_{3}$ ) and (33) it follows that $\sigma \in L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})$. Let $t \in$ $[0, T]$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{d}$. Since $J(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t) \pm \boldsymbol{\psi})=J(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))<$ $+\infty$, choosing $\boldsymbol{w}=\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t) \pm \boldsymbol{\psi} \in V$ (see (14)) in (31), using (3), (21) and (23), we obtain

$$
\rho \ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}=\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}+\boldsymbol{f}_{0} \text { in } H .
$$

Now, taking into account (33) and (24), we arrive at $\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)$, and thus (34) is satisfied. The uniqueness of the solution follows from Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete.

## 4. Convergence as Viscosity Vanishes

In this section we investigate the behaviour of the solution to the viscoelastic problem $P_{V}^{c}$ when the viscosity operator converges to zero and when the frictional potential function tends to the potential of the corresponding elastic problem. We suppose in the sequel that (14), $\left(i_{2}\right)-$ $\left(i_{4}\right),\left(j_{1}\right)-\left(j_{3}\right),(20),(24)$ hold and the following additional property is satisfied:
$\left(i_{5}\right) \mathcal{A}(x, \cdot)$ is strongly monotone on $S_{d}$, i.e. there exists $m_{\mathcal{A}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{A}\left(x, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right)-\mathcal{A}\left(x, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \geq m_{\mathcal{A}}\left|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\right|^{2}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \in S_{d}, \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

We focus our attention on the convergence to frictional elasticity and the continuity with respect to the friction potential function. Thus, we consider a sequence of problems $P_{V}^{c_{n}}$ obtained from Problem $P_{V}^{c}$ in which we set $c=c_{n}$, where $\left(c_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of viscosity coefficients such that $c_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty ; \varphi=\varphi_{n}$, where $\varphi_{n}: \Gamma_{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given functions; $\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}$ stand for the initial displacements and velocities, respectively. We have the following variational problem, for each $n$ :

Problem $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}}^{\boldsymbol{c}_{n}}:$ Find a displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}_{n}:[0, T] \rightarrow$ $V$ and a stress field $\sigma_{n}:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n}(t)=c_{n} \mathcal{A} \varepsilon\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)+\mathcal{G} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)\right) \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T),  \tag{38}\\
\left\langle\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}+\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n}(t), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\
+J_{n}(\boldsymbol{w})-J_{n}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right) \geq\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)_{H}, \\
\forall \boldsymbol{w} \in V, \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T),  \tag{39}\\
\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}, \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(0)=\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0} \text { in } \Omega . \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $J_{n}$ is defined by (25) for $j=j_{n}$, where $j_{n}$ is given by (13) for $\varphi=\varphi_{n}$.

Next we consider the elastic problem $P_{V}^{0}$ obtained from $P_{V}^{c}$ for $c=0$ and the data $\varphi: \Gamma_{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{u}_{0}$, $\boldsymbol{v}_{0}$ given.

Problem $\boldsymbol{P}_{V}^{\mathbf{0}}:$ Find a displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}:[0, T] \rightarrow V$ and a stress field $\sigma:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)=\mathcal{G} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}(t)) \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T),  \tag{41}\\
\langle\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}+\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))_{\mathcal{H}}\right. \\
+J(\boldsymbol{w})-J(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)) \geq\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{w}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{H}, \\
\forall \boldsymbol{w} \in V, \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T),  \tag{42}\\
\boldsymbol{u}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(0)=\boldsymbol{v}_{0} \text { in } \Omega . \tag{43}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, the functionals $J$ and $j$ are defined by (25) and (13), respectively.

We assume that $j$ satisfies (15);
$j_{n}$ is a proper, convex and lower semicontinuous function on $V$ for all $n$;
there exist $m \in[1,2)$ and $\alpha>0$ such that, for all $n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\varphi_{n}(x, \boldsymbol{y})-\varphi(x, \boldsymbol{y})\right| \\
& \quad \leq \alpha c_{n}|\boldsymbol{y}|^{m}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \text { a.e. } x \in \Gamma_{3} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

there exists $\boldsymbol{h} \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+J(\boldsymbol{v})-J\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right) \\
\geq\left(\left(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{H}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

for each $n$ there exists $\boldsymbol{h}_{n} \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}\right)+c_{n} \mathcal{A} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}\right), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v})-\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \quad+J_{n}(\boldsymbol{v})-J_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}\right) \\
& \geq  \tag{47}\\
& \left.\geq\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{n}, \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}\right)\right)_{H}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V
\end{align*}
$$

and $\boldsymbol{v}_{0} \in D(\partial J), \boldsymbol{v}_{n 0} \in D\left(\partial J_{n}\right)$. Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \text { in } V, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{n 0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{0} \text { in } H \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us remark that if we have, for all $n, \boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}=\boldsymbol{v}_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n 0}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+J_{n}(\boldsymbol{v})-J_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right) \\
\geq\left(\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(0), \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{H}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V \\
\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v})-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}+J(\boldsymbol{v})-J\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right) \\
\geq\left(\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(0), \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right)\right)_{H}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V,
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n 0}:=\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}\right)+c_{n} \mathcal{A} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}\right), \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0}:=\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right)$, then the assumptions (46)-(48) are satisfied.

From Theorem 2 it follows that, for each $n$, Problem $P_{V}^{c_{n}}$ has a unique solution $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{n}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n}\right\}$ with regularity $\boldsymbol{u}_{n} \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{2, \infty}(0, T ; H), \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n} \in$ $L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H}), \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n} \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)$, and Problem $P_{V}^{0}$ has a unique solution $\{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\}$ with regularity $\boldsymbol{u} \in$ $W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{2, \infty}(0, T ; H), \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})$, $\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)$.

We are now in a position to formulate our convergence result.

Theorem 3. Let $\left(c_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $(0,+\infty)$ such that $c_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that (14), (15), $\left(i_{2}\right)-\left(i_{5}\right),\left(j_{1}\right)-\left(j_{3}\right),(20),(24),(44)-(47)$ and denote by $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{n}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n}\right\},\{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\}$ the unique solutions to Problems $P_{V}^{c_{n}}$ and $P_{V}^{0}$, respectively. Then there exists a constant $C>0$, depending on $\boldsymbol{u}$ and on the data, but independent of $n$, such that for all $n$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| \boldsymbol{u}_{n} & -\boldsymbol{u}\left\|_{C([0, T] ; V)}+\right\| \boldsymbol{u}_{n}-\boldsymbol{u} \|_{C^{1}([0, T] ; H)} \\
& +\left\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})} \\
\leq & C\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{V}+\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right\|_{H}+\sqrt{c_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, if (48) holds, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{u}_{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u} \text { in } & C([0, T] ; V) \cap C^{1}([0, T] ; H), \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n} & \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma} \text { in } L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $t \in[0, T]$. Taking $\boldsymbol{w}=\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)$ in (39) and using (38), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t), \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right. & \left.-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V} \\
& +c_{n}\left(\mathcal{A} \varepsilon\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}\right. \\
& +\left(\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)\right), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& +j_{n}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))-j_{n}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right) \\
\geq & \left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t), \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)_{H} \\
& +\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)^{d}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and taking $\boldsymbol{w}=\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)$ in (42), using (41), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t), \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right. & -\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V} \\
& +\left(\mathcal{G} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}(t)), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& +j\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)-j(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)) \\
\geq & \left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t), \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{H} \\
& +\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)^{d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding the last two inequalities, we deduce that for each $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)-\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t), \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V} \\
& \quad+c_{n}\left(\mathcal{A} \varepsilon\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)-\mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \quad+c_{n}\left(\mathcal{A} \varepsilon(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)), \varepsilon(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \quad+\left(\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)\right)-\mathcal{G} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}(t)), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)_{\mathcal{H}}\right. \\
& \quad+j_{n}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))-j_{n}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)+j\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)-j(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating this inequality on $[0, t]$ and using (23), $\left(j_{2}\right)$, $\left(i_{5}\right),(18),(40),(43)$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t) \mid\right\|_{H}^{2}+c_{n} m_{\mathcal{A}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right. \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{G} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)\right)-\mathcal{G} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}(t)), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)\right)-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}(t))\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0} \mid\right\|_{H}^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+c_{n} \int_{0}^{t}\|\mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right\|_{V} \mathrm{~d} s \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}\right)-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right)\right), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}\right)-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right)\right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&+\int_{0}^{t}\left|j_{n}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s))-j_{n}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right)+j\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right)-j(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s))\right| \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\left(j_{1}\right),\left(j_{3}\right)$ and (18), and since by $\left(i_{2}\right),\left(i_{3}\right)$ and $\left(i_{4}\right)$ we have $\mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}) \in L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t) \mid\right\|_{H}^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+c_{n} m_{\mathcal{A}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \quad+\frac{m_{\mathcal{G}}}{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)-\boldsymbol{u}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0} \mid\right\|_{H}^{2}+\frac{c_{n}}{2 m_{\mathcal{A}}} \int_{0}^{t}\|\mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{c_{n} m_{\mathcal{A}}}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \quad+\frac{C}{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{V}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|j_{n}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s))-j(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s))\right|\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left|j_{n}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right)-j\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $n$ and may change from line to line. From the fact that $\varphi_{n}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right) \in$ $L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), \varphi(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)) \in L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$, by using (45), it follows that $\varphi_{n}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)) \in L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), \varphi\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right) \in L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$. Consequently, using (45), Young's inequality and (19), we can write, for all $s \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid j_{n}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)) & -j(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s))\left|+\left|j_{n}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right)-j\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right)\right|\right. \\
\leq & \alpha c_{n} \int_{\Gamma_{3}}|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)|^{m} \mathrm{~d} a+\alpha c_{n} \int_{\Gamma_{3}}\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right|^{m} \mathrm{~d} a \\
\leq & \alpha\left(1+2^{m-1}\right) c_{n} \int_{\Gamma_{3}}|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)|^{m} \mathrm{~d} a \\
& +\alpha 2^{m-1} c_{n} \int_{\Gamma_{3}}\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\right|^{m} \mathrm{~d} a \\
\leq & \alpha\left(1+2^{m-1}\right) c_{n} \int_{\Gamma_{3}}|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)|^{m} \mathrm{~d} a \\
& +c_{n} \int_{\Gamma_{3}}\left[\frac{2-m}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha 2^{m-1}}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-m}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{m}{2} \tau^{\frac{2}{m}}\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} a \\
\leq & C\left(c_{n}\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{d}}^{m}+c_{n}\right) \\
& +c_{n} \frac{m}{2} \tau^{\frac{2}{m}}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)^{d}}^{2} \\
\leq & C\left(c_{n} C_{0}^{m}\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\|_{V}^{m}\right. \\
& \left.+c_{n}\right)+c_{n} \frac{m}{2} \tau^{\frac{2}{m}} C_{0}^{2}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\right\|_{V}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau>0$ is a constant that will be chosen below.

Integrating this inequality on $[0, t]$ and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|j_{n}(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s))-j(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s))\right|+\left|j_{n}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right)-j\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)\right)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad \leq C c_{n}+c_{n} \frac{m}{2} \tau^{\frac{2}{m}} C_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \quad \leq C c_{n}+c_{n} \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}}}{3} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\tau$ chosen such that $(m / 2) \tau^{2 / m} C_{0}^{2} \leq m_{\mathcal{A}} / 3$. Combining (49) with (50) and using the equivalence of the norms $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{H}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H}$ on $H$, we infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t) & -\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)\left\|_{H}^{2}+c_{n} \int_{0}^{t}\right\| \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(s)-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s) \|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& +\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)-\boldsymbol{u}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \\
\leq & C\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right\|_{H}^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{V}^{2}+c_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the continuity of the embedding $V \subset H$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\| \boldsymbol{u}_{n} & -\boldsymbol{u}\left\|_{C([0, T] ; V)}^{2}+\right\| \boldsymbol{u}_{n}-\boldsymbol{u} \|_{C^{1}([0, T] ; H)}^{2} \\
& \quad+c_{n}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; V)}^{2} \\
\leq & C\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right\|_{H}^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{V}^{2}+c_{n}\right) \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains to prove that for all $n$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{V}+\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right\|_{H}+\sqrt{c_{n}}\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

From (38) and (41) we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n}(t)-\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq & 2 c_{n}^{2}\left\|\mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}(t)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \\
& +2\left\|\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)\right)-\mathcal{G} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}(t))\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Condition $\left(i_{2}\right)$ implies that there exist two positive constants $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ such that $\|\mathcal{A} \tau\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq$ $\delta_{1}\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\delta_{2}, \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n} & -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \|_{L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})}^{2} \\
\leq & 2 c_{n}^{2} \delta_{1}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; V)}^{2}+2 T \delta_{2} c_{n}^{2} \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\mathcal{G} \varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)\right)-\mathcal{G} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}(t))\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using again $\left(j_{3}\right)$ and (18), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})}^{2} \\
& \leq \\
& \leq 4 c_{n}^{2} \delta_{1}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n}-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; V)}^{2} \\
& \quad+4 c_{n}^{2} \delta_{1}\|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; V)}^{2}+2 T \delta_{2} c_{n}^{2} \\
& \quad+2 C \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{n}(t)-\boldsymbol{u}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Keeping in mind (51), we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})}^{2} \leq & C\left(c_{n}+1\right)\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{V}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{n 0}-\boldsymbol{v}_{0}\right\|_{H}^{2}+c_{n}\right)+C c_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so, since $c_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (52). This completes the proof.

We conclude by Theorem 3 that the weak solution to the frictional elastic problem $P^{0}$ can be approached by the weak solution to the frictional viscoelastic problem $P^{c_{n}}$ when the coefficient of viscosity is small enough and the corresponding friction potential functions satisfy (45). In addition to the mathematical interest in the convergence properties proved in Theorem 3, this is of importance from the mechanical point of view, because the frictional elasticity appears as a limit case of frictional viscoelasticity.

Remark 1. A similar argument to the one used in the proof of Theorem 3 shows that Theorem 3 remains true when we replace the condition (45) with the following property: there exist an integer $p \geq 1$ and numbers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{p} \in[1,2), \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}>0$ such that for every $n$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\varphi_{n}(x, \boldsymbol{y})-\varphi(x, \boldsymbol{y})\right| & \leq c_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i}|\boldsymbol{y}|^{m_{i}} \\
\forall \boldsymbol{y} & \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \text { a.e. } x \in \Gamma_{3} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. Examples of Subdifferential Contact Condition

In this section we present some examples of contact friction laws which lead to an inequality of the form (10) and for which (14) and (15) hold. Using Theorem 2, we conclude that the boundary value problem $P_{V}^{c}$ corresponding to each of the examples below has a unique weak solution. Moreover, the convergence result given in Theorem 3 is applicable in all the concrete examples below.

Example 1. We consider the bilateral contact with Tresca's friction law. This contact condition can be found in (Duvaut and Lions, 1976; Panagiotopoulos, 1985) and,
more recently, in (Amassad et al., (1999); Chau et al., 2001b). We use the following boundary condition:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\nu}=0, \quad\left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}\right| \leq g \\
\left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}\right|<g \Longrightarrow \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\tau}=\mathbf{0}, \\
\left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}\right|=g \Longrightarrow \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\tau}=-\lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}, \text { for some } \lambda \geq 0 \\
\\
\text { on } \Gamma_{3} \times(0, T) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $g$ represents the friction bound, i.e. the magnitude of the limiting friction traction at which slip begins. We assume that $g \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), g \geq 0$ a.e. on $\Gamma_{3}$.

We set $U=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in H_{1} \mid v_{\nu}=0\right.$ on $\left.\Gamma_{3}\right\}$ and deduce from (12) that

$$
V=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in H_{1} \mid \boldsymbol{v}=\mathbf{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, v_{\nu}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{3}\right\} .
$$

We see that (10) holds with the choice

$$
\varphi(x, \boldsymbol{y})=g(x)\left|\boldsymbol{y}_{\tau(x)}\right|, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{3}, \quad \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{y}_{\tau(x)}:=\boldsymbol{y}-y_{\nu(x)} \boldsymbol{\nu}(x), y_{\nu(x)}:=\boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}(x)$, with $\boldsymbol{\nu}(x)$ as the unit normal at $x \in \Gamma_{3}$. In the convergence result, denote by $g$ the friction bound for the elastic problem $P_{V}^{0}$ and by $g_{n}$ the one for the viscoelastic problem $P_{V}^{c_{n}}$. If the functions $g_{n}, g \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ satisfy, for all $n$,

$$
\left\|g_{n}-g\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \leq \alpha c_{n}
$$

for some $\alpha>0$, the assumption (45) is satisfied with $m=1$.

Example 2. We model the bilateral contact with a viscous friction condition defined by a tangential damped response. We use the following boundary condition:

$$
u_{\nu}=0, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}=-\mu\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\tau}\right|^{q-1} \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\tau} \text { on } \Gamma_{3} \times(0, T)
$$

where $0<q<1$ and $\mu \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), \mu \geq 0$ a.e. on $\Gamma_{3}$.
We let $U=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in H_{1} \mid v_{\nu}=0\right.$ on $\left.\Gamma_{3}\right\}$, and then

$$
V=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in H_{1} \mid \boldsymbol{v}=\mathbf{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, v_{\nu}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{3}\right\} .
$$

Thus (10) is satisfied with
$\varphi(x, \boldsymbol{y})=\frac{1}{q+1} \mu(x)\left|\boldsymbol{y}_{\tau(x)}\right|^{q+1}, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{3}, \quad \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.
In the convergence result denote by $\mu$ the coefficient of friction for the elastic problem $P_{V}^{0}$ and by $\mu_{n}$ the one for the viscoelastic problem $P_{V}^{c_{n}}$. If the positive functions $\mu_{n}, \mu \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ satisfy, for all $n$,

$$
\left\|\mu_{n}-\mu\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \leq \alpha(q+1) c_{n}
$$

for some $\alpha>0$, the assumption (45) is satisfied with $m=q+1$.

Example 3. We consider a model of damped response contact with Tresca's friction law (see, e.g., Jarušek and Eck, 1999; Rochdi and Shillor, 2001c). We use the following boundary condition:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\sigma_{\nu}=k\left|\dot{u}_{\nu}\right|^{q-1} \dot{u}_{\nu}, \quad\left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}\right| \leq g \\
\left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}\right|<g \Longrightarrow \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\tau}=0 \\
\left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}\right|=g \Longrightarrow \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\tau}=-\lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}, \quad \text { for some } \lambda \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

on $\Gamma_{3} \times(0, T)$. Here $0<q<1$ and $g, k \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$, $g, k \geq 0$.

We have $U=H^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$ and

$$
V=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in H^{1}(\Omega)^{d} \mid \boldsymbol{v}=\mathbf{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{1}\right\} .
$$

Thus (10) is satisfied with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi(x, \boldsymbol{y})=\frac{1}{q+1} k(x)\left|y_{\nu(x)}\right|^{q+1}+g(x)\left|\boldsymbol{y}_{\tau}(x)\right| \\
\forall x \in \Gamma_{3}, \quad \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the convergence result denote by $g, k$ the parameters for the elastic problem $P_{V}^{0}$ and by $g_{n}, k_{n}$ those for the viscoelastic problem $P_{V}^{c_{n}}$. If the positive functions $g_{n}, k_{n}, g, k \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ satisfy, for all $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|k_{n}-k\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \leq \alpha_{1}(q+1) c_{n} \\
&\left\|g_{n}-g\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \leq \alpha_{2} c_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}>0$, then (53) is satisfied with $p=2$, $m_{1}=q+1, m_{2}=1$.
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