

## COMPUTATION OF REALIZATIONS COMPOSED OF DYNAMIC AND STATIC PARTS OF IMPROPER TRANSFER MATRICES

TADEUSZ KACZOREK

Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Białystok Technical University  
ul. Wiejska 45D, 15–351 Białystok  
e-mail: kaczorek@isep.pw.edu.pl

The problem of computing minimal realizations of a singular system decomposed into a standard dynamical system and a static system of a given improper transfer matrix is formulated and solved. A new notion of the minimal dynamical-static realization is introduced. It is shown that there always exists a minimal dynamical-static realization of a given improper transfer matrix. A procedure for the computation of a minimal dynamical-static realization for a given improper transfer matrix is proposed and illustrated by a numerical example.

**Keywords:** minimal realization, decomposition, improper transfer matrix, singular linear system

### 1. Introduction

The computation of a minimal realization for a given transfer matrix is one of the classical problems in control theory. There exist many well-known methods for the computation of minimal realizations for given proper and improper transfer matrices (Christodoulou and Mertzios, 1985; Kaczorek, 1992; Kailath, 1980; Roman and Bullock, 1975; Sinha Naresk, 1975; Wolovich and Guidorsi, 1977). It is also well known that a singular linear system described by static equations can be decomposed into two subsystems, a standard dynamical subsystem and a static subsystem (Kaczorek, 1992). The main purpose of this paper is to propose a method for the computation of minimal realizations of a singular system decomposed into a standard dynamical system and a static system of a given improper transfer matrix. A new notion of the minimal dynamical-static realization will be introduced. It will be shown that there always exists a minimal dynamical-static realization of a given improper transfer matrix. A procedure for the computation of a minimal dynamical-static realization of a given improper transfer matrix will be proposed.

To the best of the author's knowledge, the problem of computing a minimal dynamical-static realization for a given improper transfer matrix has not been considered yet.

### 2. Preliminaries and problem formulation

Let  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  be the set of  $n \times m$  real matrices and  $\mathbb{R}^n := \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ . Consider the singular continuous-time linear system

$$E\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad (1a)$$

$$y = Cx, \quad (1b)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$  are respectively the state vector, the input vector and the output vector, and  $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ . It is assumed that  $\det E = 0$  and

$$\det[Es - A] \neq 0 \quad (2)$$

for some  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  (the field of complex numbers).

It is well known (Kaczorek, 1992) that the singular system (1) can be decomposed into the standard dynamical system

$$\dot{x}_1 = A_1x_1 + B_1u, \quad (3a)$$

$$y_1 = C_1x_1, \quad (3b)$$

and the static system

$$x_2 = A_{21}x_1 + B_{20}u + B_{21}\dot{u} + B_{2r}u^{(r)}, \quad (4a)$$

$$y_2 = C_2x_2, \quad (4b)$$

such that

$$y = y_1 + y_2, \quad \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = Qx, \quad \det Q \neq 0 \quad (5)$$

(often  $Q = I$ ), where  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ ,  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ ,  $n_1 + n_2 = n$ ,  $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$ ,  $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times m}$ ,  $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n_1}$ ,  $A_{21} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_1}$ ,  $B_{2k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times m}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and  $u^{(r)} = d^r u / dt^r$ .

The decomposition can be obtained using the modified shuffle algorithm (Kaczorek, 1992).

**Lemma 1.** *The transfer matrix of the singular system decomposed into the standard dynamical system (3) and the static system (4) is given by*

$$T(s) = (C_1 + C_2 A_{21})[I_{n_1} s - A_1]^{-1} B_1 + C_2 (B_{20} + B_{21} s + \dots + B_{2r} s^r). \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* From (3a) and (4a) we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [I_{n_1} s - A_1] & 0 \\ -A_{21} & I_{n_2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \times \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_{20} + B_{21} s + \dots + B_{2r} s^r \end{bmatrix} U, \quad (7)$$

where  $X_k = X_k(s) = L[x_k(t)]$ ,  $U = U(s) = L[u(t)]$  are the Laplace transforms of  $x_k$  and  $u$ , respectively.

Taking into account that

$$\begin{bmatrix} [I_{n_1} s - A_1] & 0 \\ -A_{21} & I_{n_2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} [I_{n_1} s - A_1]^{-1} & 0 \\ A_{21}[I_{n_1} s - A_1]^{-1} & I_{n_2} \end{bmatrix},$$

from (3b), (4b) and (5) we obtain for the Laplace transform of  $y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [I_{n_1} s - A_1]^{-1} & 0 \\ A_{21}[I_{n_1} s - A_1]^{-1} & I_{n_2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_{20} + B_{21} s + \dots + B_{2r} s^r \end{bmatrix} U \\ &= [(C_1 + C_2 A_{21})[I_{n_1} s - A_1]^{-1} B_1 \\ &\quad + C_2 (B_{20} + B_{21} s + \dots + B_{2r} s^r)] U. \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

Formula (6) follows from (8). ■

**Definition 1.** The matrices  $A_1$ ,  $A_{21}$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $B_{20}$ ,  $B_{21}, \dots, B_{2r}$ ,  $C_1, C_2$  constitute a *dynamical-static realization* of an improper transfer matrix  $T(s)$  if they satisfy (6). A realization is called *minimal* if the matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_{21}$  have minimal dimensions among all realizations of  $T(s)$ .

The realization problem can be stated as follows: Given an improper transfer matrix  $T(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}(s)$  (the set of  $p \times m$  rational matrices in  $s$ ), find a dynamical-static realization of a given improper transfer matrix  $T(s)$ .

In what follows, a procedure for the computation of a minimal dynamical-static realization of a given improper transfer matrix will be proposed.

### 3. Problem Solution

Any given improper transfer matrix  $T(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}(s)$  can be decomposed into the polynomial part

$$P(s) = P_0 + P_1 s + \dots + P_r s^r \quad (9)$$

and the strictly proper part  $T_{sp}(s)$ , i.e.,

$$T(s) = P(s) + T_{sp}(s). \quad (10)$$

From the comparison of (6) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(s) &= P_0 + P_1 s + \dots + P_r s^r \\ &= C_2 (B_{20} + B_{21} s + \dots + B_{2r} s^r) \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_{sp}(s) = (C_1 + C_2 A_{21})[I_{n_1} s - A_1]^{-1} B_1. \quad (12)$$

Using one of the well-known methods (Christodoulou and Mertzios, 1985; Kaczorek, 1992; Kailath, 1980; Roman and Bullock, 1975; Sinha Naresk, 1975; Wolovich and Guidorsi, 1977), we can determine a minimal realization  $A_1, B_1, \bar{C}_1$  of  $T_{sp}(s)$  satisfying

$$\bar{C}_1 [I_{n_1} s - A_1]^{-1} B_1 = T_{sp}(s). \quad (13)$$

Given the matrices  $P_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and  $A_1, B_1, \bar{C}_1$ , in order to solve the realization problem, we have to find the matrices  $A_1, A_{21}, B_1, B_{2k}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  satisfying

$$C_1 + C_2 A_{21} = \bar{C}_1, \quad C_2 B_{2k} = P_k \quad (14)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$ .

Note that there exist many matrices  $A_{21}, C_1, C_2$  and  $B_{2k}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  satisfying (14) for given  $\bar{C}_1$  and  $P_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$ . One way to find the desired matrices is to choose first  $C_2$  and  $A_{21}$  (or  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ) and compute  $C_1$  (or  $A_{21}$ ) and  $B_{2k}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  from (14). Therefore, we can compute a minimal dynamical-static realization of a given improper transfer matrix  $T(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}(s)$  using the following procedure:

#### Procedure 1.

*Step 1.* Decompose a given transfer matrix  $T(s)$  into the polynomial part (9) and the strictly proper part  $T_{sp}(s)$ .

*Step 2.* Using one of the well-known methods compute a minimal realization  $A_1, B_1, \bar{C}_1$  of  $T_{sp}(s)$ .

*Step 3.* Choose the matrices  $C_2, A_{21}$  (or  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ) and, using (14), compute the matrices  $B_{2k}, k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and  $C_1$  (or  $A_{21}$ ).

**Remark 1.** The dimensions of the matrices  $B_{2k}, k = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and  $C_2$  are determined by the dimension  $m \times p$  of the transfer matrix  $T(s)$ . A dynamical-static realization of  $T(s)$  is minimal if and only if the realization  $A_1, B_1, \bar{C}_1$  of  $T_{sp}(s)$  is minimal.

From the above discussion we have the following result:

**Theorem 1.** For a given improper transfer matrix  $T(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}(s)$  there always exists a minimal dynamical-static realization  $A_1, A_{21}, B_1, B_{2k}, k = 0, 1, \dots, r, C_1$  and  $C_2$ . This realization can be computed using Procedure 1.

**Example 1.** Find a minimal dynamical-static realization of the transfer matrix

$$T(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{s^3 + s^2 + 1}{s} & \frac{s^2 + 2s + 3}{s + 1} \\ \frac{2s^2 + 4s + 2}{s + 2} & \frac{s^3 + 2s^2 + s + 3}{s + 2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Using Procedure 1, we obtain the following: *Step 1.* The transfer matrix (15) can be decomposed into the polynomial part

$$\begin{aligned} P(s) &= \begin{bmatrix} s^2 + s & s + 1 \\ 2s & s^2 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} s + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} s^2 \\ &= P_0 + P_1 s + P_2 s^2 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and the strictly proper part

$$T_{sp}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s} & \frac{2}{s + 1} \\ \frac{2}{s + 2} & \frac{1}{s + 2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

*Step 2.* A minimal realization of (17) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ B_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{C}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

*Step 3.* In this case we choose, e.g.,

$$C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Then from (14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \bar{C}_1 - C_2 A_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ B_{20} = P_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{21} = P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ B_{22} = P_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The desired minimal dynamical-static realization of the transfer matrix (15) is given by (18)–(20).

## 4. Concluding Remarks

The problem of computing a minimal realization of a singular system decomposed into the standard dynamical system (3) and the static system (4) of a given improper transfer matrix was formulated and solved. A new notion of the minimal dynamical-static realization of a given transfer matrix was introduced. It was shown that there always exist a minimal dynamical-static realization of a given improper transfer matrix. A procedure for computing a minimal dynamical-static realization of a given improper transfer matrix was proposed and illustrated by a numerical example. With slight modifications (by substitution of  $s$  by  $z$  and of the derivative by the shifting operator) the proposed method can be extended to discrete-time linear systems.

## Acknowledgment

The work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education under grant no. 3T11A00627.

## References

- Christodoulou M.A. and Mertzios B.G. (1985): *Realization of singular systems via Markov parameters*. — Int. J. Contr., Vol. 42, No. 6, pp. 1433–1441.
- Kaczorek T. (1992): *Linear Control Systems, Vol. 1*. — New York: Wiley.
- Kailath T. (1980): *Linear Systems*. — Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall
- Roman J.R. and Bullock T.E. (1975): *Minimal partial realization in canonical form*. — IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., Vol. AC-20, No. 4, pp. 529–533.
- Sinha Naresk K. (1975): *Minimal realization of transfer function matrices: A comparative study of different methods*. — Int. J. Contr., Vol. 22, No. 5, pp. 627–639.
- Wolovich W.A. and Guidorsi R. (1977): *A general algorithm for determining state-space representations*. — Automatica, Vol. 13, pp. 295–199.

Received: 20 December 2006