

AN EXTENSION OF THE CAYLEY-HAMILTON THEOREM FOR A STANDARD PAIR OF BLOCK MATRICES

TADEUSZ KACZOREK*

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem is extended for a standard pair of matrices partitioned into blocks that commute in pairs. The Victoria theorem (Victoria, 1982) is a particular case for $E = I$ of the extended Cayley-Hamilton theorem. The new theorem is illustrated by an example. Some remarks on extension of the theorem for non-square block matrices are also given.

1. Introduction

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem plays an important role in linear algebra, linear networks and automatic control systems (Chang and Chen, 1992; Fragulis, 1995; Gantmacher, 1974; Kaczorek, 1992; 1993; Lancaster, 1969; Lewis, 1982; 1986; Mertzios, 1989). The theorem says that every square matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation (Gantmacher, 1974; Kaczorek, 1992; 1993; Lancaster, 1969). The classical Cayley-Hamilton theorem was extended for pairs of square matrices (Chang and Chen, 1992; Lewis, 1982; 1986), square block matrices (Victoria, 1982) and for two-dimensional (2D) and nD ($n > 2$) linear systems described by the Roesser model or by the general model (Kaczorek, 1992; 1993; Mertzios, 1989; Mertzios and Christodoulou, 1986; Smart and Barnett, 1989; Theodoru, 1989). Recently in (Kaczorek, 1994; 1995a; 1995b) the Cayley-Hamilton theorem was extended for non-square matrices, non-square block matrices and for singular 2D linear systems with non-square matrices. In (Fragulis, 1995) the Cayley-Hamilton theorem was extended for polynomial matrices of an arbitrary degree. In the analysis and synthesis of generalized control systems we deal with standard pairs of block matrices (Kaczorek, 1992; 1993).

In this paper, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem will be extended for a standard pair of matrices partitioned into blocks that commute in pairs. The Victoria theorem (Victoria, 1982) is a particular case for $E = I$ of the theorem given in this paper. The extended Cayley-Hamilton theorem can be used e.g. for computing the inverse matrix of a block matrix and in the analysis of linear systems consisting of subsystems.

* Warsaw Technical University, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Institute of Control and Industrial Electronics, ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warszawa, Poland, e-mail: kaczorek@nov.isep.pw.edu.pl.

2. Preliminaries

Let $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of n -order square complex matrices that commute in pairs and $M_m(P_n)$ be the set of square matrices partitioned in m^2 blocks belonging to $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. The Kronecker product \otimes of the block matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \dots & A_{1m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{m1} & \dots & A_{mm} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{ij} \in P_n(\mathbb{C}) \quad (1)$$

and a matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is defined by

$$A \otimes B := \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}B & \dots & A_{1m}B \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{m1}B & \dots & A_{mm}B \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the set of $n \times n$ complex matrices.

Definition 1. A pair of block matrices (E, A) is called *standard* if there exist scalars α and β such that

$$E\alpha + A\beta = I \quad (\text{the identity matrix}) \quad (3)$$

Lemma 1. *If the pair (E, A) is standard, then it is also commutative, i.e.*

$$EA = AE \quad (4)$$

Proof. Let $\beta \neq 0$. From (3) we have

$$A = \frac{1}{\beta}I - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}E$$

and

$$EA = E \left(\frac{1}{\beta}I - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}E \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}I - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}E \right) E = AE$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$, the proof is similar. ■

Lemma 2. *Let the pair (E, A) be standard.*

(i) *If $E \in M_m(P_n)$ and $\beta \neq 0$, then $A \in M_m(P_n)$.*

(ii) *If E is symmetric and $\beta \neq 0$, then A is also symmetric.*

Proof. Let $\beta \neq 0$. From (3) we have $A = (1/\beta)I - (\alpha/\beta)E$ and $A \in M_m(P_n)$, since by assumption $E \in M_m(P_n)$. ■

In Lemma 2 the roles of E and A (β and α , respectively) can be interchanged.

Definition 2. The matrix polynomial

$$\Delta(\Lambda, M) = \det [E \otimes \Lambda - A \otimes M] = \sum_{i=0}^m D_{i,m-i} \Lambda^i M^{m-i}, \quad D_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \quad (5)$$

is called the (matrix) *characteristic polynomial* of the pair $E, A \in M_m(P_n)$. Λ and M constitute the block indeterminate pair of (E, A) . The pair (Λ, M) is called the block-eigenvalue pair of (E, A) .

In (5) ‘det’ means the formal determinant of a block matrix $F \in M_m(P_n)$ which we obtain by developing the determinant of F and considering its commuting blocks as elements (Victoria, 1982). Denoting by $\text{Det } F$ the usual determinant of F , we have the well-known relation (Victoria, 1982)

$$\text{Det } F = \text{Det}(\det F) \quad (6)$$

3. Main Result

Consider a standard pair of block matrices $E, A \in M_m(P_n)$.

Theorem 1. Let (5) be the characteristic polynomial of (E, A) . Then

$$\Delta(A, E) = \sum_{i=0}^m (I \otimes D_{i,m-i}) A^i E^{m-i} = 0 \quad (7)$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} B(\Lambda, M) &= B_{m-1,0} \otimes \Lambda^{m-1} + B_{m-2,1} \otimes \Lambda^{m-2} M + \dots \\ &\quad + B_{1,m-2} \otimes \Lambda M^{m-2} + B_{0,m-1} \otimes M^{m-1} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

be the block-adjoint matrix of $[E \otimes \Lambda - A \otimes M]$. By using (6) it can be shown that (Victoria, 1982)

$$B(\Lambda, M) [E \otimes \Lambda - A \otimes M] = I \otimes \Delta(\Lambda, M) \quad (9)$$

Substituting (5) and (8) into (9), comparing the matrix coefficients of the some powers of Λ and M and using the well-known property of the Kronecker product (Lancaster, 1969) $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{m-1,0} E &= I \otimes D_{m,0} \\ -B_{m-1,0} A + B_{m-2,1} E &= I \otimes D_{m-1,1} \\ -B_{m-2,1} A + B_{m-3,2} E &= I \otimes D_{m-2,2} \\ -B_{1,m-1} A + B_{0,m-1} E &= I \otimes D_{1,m-1} \\ -B_{0,m-1} A &= I \otimes D_{0,m} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Postmultiplying (10) successively by $A^m, A^{m-1}E, \dots, AE^{m-1}, E^m$ and adding them, we obtain (7). ■

Note that in the particular case $E = I$ the Victoria theorem (Victoria, 1982) can be obtained from Theorem 1.

4. Example

Consider the pair of block matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
 E = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & E_2 \\ E_3 & E_4 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \vdots & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \vdots & 0 & 2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 3 & 0 & \vdots & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & \vdots & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(P_2) \\
 A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & \vdots & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & \vdots & 0 & 2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 3 & 0 & \vdots & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & \vdots & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(P_2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The pair (11) is standard since it satisfies (3) for $\alpha = -1$ and $\beta = 1$. The characteristic polynomial of (11) has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(\Lambda, M) &= \det [E \otimes \Lambda - A \otimes M] = \begin{vmatrix} E_1\Lambda - A_1M & E_2\Lambda - A_2M \\ E_3\Lambda - A_3M & E_4\Lambda - A_4M \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= D_{20}\Lambda^2 + D_{11}\Lambda M + D_{02}M^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{20} &= E_1E_4 - E_3E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 \\ 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \\
 D_{11} &= E_3A_2 + A_3E_2 - E_1A_4 - A_1E_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -5 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \\
 D_{02} &= A_1A_4 - A_3A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using (7), (12) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{bmatrix} D_{20} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{20} \end{bmatrix} A^2 + \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{11} \end{bmatrix} AE + \begin{bmatrix} D_{02} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{02} \end{bmatrix} E^2 \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 7 & 10 & 11 \\ 0 & 10 & 0 & 10 \\ 15 & 9 & 15 & 15 \\ 0 & 15 & 0 & 15 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &+ \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 6 & 8 & 10 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 & 8 \\ 12 & 9 & 12 & 13 \\ 0 & 12 & 0 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 5 & 6 & 9 \\ 0 & 7 & 0 & 6 \\ 9 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ 0 & 9 & 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the standard pair (11) is a zero of its characteristic polynomial (12).

5. Concluding Remarks

In (Kaczorek, 1995a), the Victoria theorem was only extended for non-square block matrices with square commutative blocks. In this paper, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem was extended for a standard pair of matrices partitioned into blocks that commute in pairs. The Victoria theorem in (Victoria, 1982) is a particular case of the proved theorem for $E = I$.

In a similar way as in (Kaczorek, 1994; 1995a; 1995b), the presented theorem can be extended for non-square block matrices and can be used for the computation of the left and right inverses of block matrices. Another example of application of the extended Cayley-Hamilton theorem is the analysis and synthesis of large-scale linear systems consisting of a number of subsystems.

References

- Chang F.R. and Chen H.C. (1992): *The generalized Cayley-Hamilton theorem for standard pencils*. — Syst. Contr. Lett., Vol.18, No.2, pp.179–182.
- Fragulis G.F. (1995): *Generalized Cayley-Hamilton theorem for polynomial matrices with arbitrary degree*. — Int. J. Contr., Vol.62, No.6, pp.1341–1349.
- Gantmacher F.R. (1974): *The Theory of Matrices, Vol.2*. — Chelsea, New York.
- Kaczorek T. (1992): *Linear Control Systems, Vol.I*. — New York: Research Studies Press Ltd.
- Kaczorek T. (1993): *Linear Control Systems, Vol.II*. — New York: Research Studies Press Ltd.
- Kaczorek T. (1994): *Extensions of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for 2-D continuous-discrete linear systems*. — Appl. Math. and Comp. Sci., Vol.4, No.4, pp.507–515.
- Kaczorek T. (1995a): *An extension of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for non-square blocks matrices and computation of the left and right inverses of matrices*. — Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Techn., Vol.43, No.1, pp.49–56.
- Kaczorek T. (1995b): *An extension of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for singular 2-D linear systems with non-square matrices*. — Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Techn., Vol.43, No.1, pp.39–48.
- Lancaster P. (1969): *Theory of Matrices*. — New York: Academic Press.
- Lewis F.L. (1982): *Cayley-Hamilton theorem and Fadeev's method for the matrix pencil, $[sE - A]$* . — Proc. 22nd IEEE Conf. Dec. Contr., San Antonio, pp.1282–1288.
- Lewis F.L. (1986): *Further remarks on the Cayley-Hamilton theorem and Leverrie's method for the matrix pencil $[sE - A]$* . — IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., Vol.31, No.9, pp.869–870.
- Mertzios B.G. (1989): *Computation of the fundamental matrix sequence and the Cayley-Hamilton theorem in singular 2-D systems*. — Proc. Int. Symp. Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems (MTNS-89), Vol.1, pp.172–178.
- Mertzios B.G. and Christodoulou M.A. (1986): *On the generalized Cayley-Hamilton theorem*. — IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., Vol.31, No.2, pp.156–157.
- Smart N.M. and Barnett S. (1989): *The algebra of matrices in n -dimensional systems*. — IMA J. Math. Contr. Inf., Vol.6, pp.121–133.
- Theodoru N.J. (1989): *M -dimensional Cayley-Hamilton theorem*. — IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., Vol.AC-34, No.5, pp.563–565.
- Victoria J. (1982): *A block-Cayley-Hamilton theorem*. — Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Matj. Roumanie, Vol.26, No.1, pp.93–97.

Received: 1 August 1997